

Case 1:20-cv-08924-CM Document 478 Filed 04/05/22 Page 1 of 2



USDC SDNY
DOCUMENT
ELECTRONICALLY FILED
DOC #:
DATE FILED: 4/7/2022

LETITIA JAMES
ATTORNEY GENERAL

DIVISION OF SOCIAL JUSTICE CIVIL RIGHTS BUREAU

April 5, 2022

VIA ECF

The Honorable Colleen McMahon United States District Court Southern District of New York Daniel Patrick Moynihan Courthouse 500 Pearl Street New York, New York 10007

MEMO EMDORSED

Re:

New York City Policing During Summer 2020 Demonstrations 20-CV-8924 (CM)(GWG)

This Letter Relates to All Cases

Dear Judge McMahon:

I write on behalf of all Plaintiffs in the above-captioned consolidated actions to request more time to respond to the second motions to intervene filed by the Sergeants Benevolent Association (SBA) and the Detectives' Endowment Association (DEA). Plaintiffs intend to oppose both of these motions. Because the Second Circuit's consideration of the appeal filed by the Patrolmen's Benevolent Association (PBA) has not yet fully concluded, and because the resolution of issues pending in that appeal may affect the nature and substance of Plaintiffs' opposition to these pending motions, we ask for leave to file our oppositions 14 days after the Second Circuit issues its mandate regarding the PBA's intervention. Alternatively, Plaintiffs seek leave to file their oppositions 14 days after the Court rules on the instant application, should it be denied. This is Plaintiffs' first request for an extension of these deadlines, which, if granted, will not affect any immediate deadlines. Counsel for the SBA, the DEA, and Defendants do not object to these requests.

The Court denied the unions' first motions to intervene on April 28, 2021. ECF No. 148. Only the PBA appealed from that decision. See 2d Cir. Dkt. 21-1316, No. 135. Although the Second Circuit reversed the Court's decision, that decision is not yet final and the PBA remains a nonparty to this litigation. See ECF Nos. 454, 456. The SBA and DEA now seek intervention based on the PBA's nonfinal appeal. See ECF Nos. 469, 476.

Among other potential ramifications, the final resolution of the PBA appeal may establish whether and to what extent the PBA will participate in this litigation and, consequently, whether it will "adequately protect" the interests of its fellow unions. *LaRouche v. FBI*, 677 F.2d 256,

Case 1:20-cv-08924-CM Document 483 Filed 04/07/22 Page 2 of 2

Case 1:20-cv-08924-CM Document 478 Filed 04/05/22 Page 2 of 2

Hon. Colleen McMahon April 5, 2022 Page 2

257 (2d Cir. 1982); see also Afro Am. Patrolmen's League v. Duck, 503 F.2d 294, 298 (6th Cir. 1974) (intervention properly denied when police union's interests were adequately represented by plaintiffs and officer intervenors). That issue will not become clear unless and until the PBA joins this case and files its proposed pleading, which is due promptly after the Second Circuit issues its mandate. ECF No. 456. Accordingly, Plaintiffs respectfully request an extension of the April 12 and 13 deadlines to respond to the unions' motions to intervene to 14 days after the Second Circuit issues a mandate. In the alternative, Plaintiffs seek leave to file their oppositions until 14 days after the Court rules on the instant application.

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Lillian Marquez

Lillian Marquez, Assistant Attorney General Office of the New York State Attorney General 28 Liberty Street, 20th Floor New York, NY 10005 Phone: (212) 416-6401

Phone: (212) 416-6401 Lillian.Marquez@ag.ny.gov

cc: All Counsel of Record (by ECF)

4/7/22 Respond to the motion without extension. I am under notification about the meaning of the second circuits decision, and while I may not agree with it, I will be bound by the mandate rule to entorceit. I will stay a ruling on any motions until the motion for reargument / en banc hearing is decided on the 17th Hoor, but I want everything briefed and ready for decision — which will come within a few days following the issuance of the mandate.

Palle In Inc.